



56 LESLIE HOUGH WAY · SALFORD · GREATER MANCHESTER · M6 6AJ · UNITED KINGDOMEmail: testing@inspec-international.comWebsite: www.inspec-international.comTel: +44 (0)16 1737 0699Fax: +44 (0)16 1736 0101

Test Report

AS/NZS 1716 : 2012

Respiratory protective devices

Report no:	1.17.06.56	
Client:	Healthy Breath Ltd 4D Pacific Rise Mt Wellington Auckland 1060 New Zealand	
Client order:	00008311	
Order(s) received:	13 to 20 June 2017	
Model(s):	MEO	
Date(s) of tests:	20 to 23 June 2017	
Signed: Mather Webb, Labor		Issued: 30 June 2017 Page 1 of 5

Conditions

This report may be reproduced and distributed to your clients, provided that it is reproduced and distributed in full.

Unless stated otherwise, the testing is accredited under the laboratory's ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation issued by ANSI-ASQ National Accreditation Board. Refer to certificate and scope of accreditation AT-1933.

Tests marked I are not included in our ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation.

Opinions, comments and interpretations expressed in this report are shown in italics.

Copies of INSPEC interpretations referenced in this report are available upon request.

Specimens will be disposed of four weeks from the date of this report, unless otherwise instructed.

This report has been provided in accordance with our standard Terms of Business, which can be viewed at, and printed from:

http://inspec-international.com/ToB.pdf

If you have difficulty accessing the Terms of Business, you may contact us for a copy.

Product characteristics

Property	Characteristic
Model	MEO
Device type	Air-purifying, half facepiece respirator
Filter class claimed	Not specified

Submission details

Product	Quantity	Date received	INSPEC specimen no. (1E0309+)
MEO filtering half mask	12	15 June 2017	23 to 28

Procedures

Specimens were selected at random from the submission(s) detailed above.

Testing was performed in accordance with AS/NZS 1716: 2012, unless otherwise specified below. Reference should be made to the standard when reading this report.

Unless stated otherwise, specimens were tested in the condition as received by INSPEC.

The Client requested testing to clause 4.3.3, Simulated wear treatment, 4.3.4, Inhalation resistance, and 4.3.5, Filtering efficiency, only. No other clauses were assessed.

- **4.3.3** Pre-conditioning was conducted as defined in E5.6 of Appendix E.
- **4.3.4** Testing was conducted to the method given in Appendix G.
- **4.3.5** Testing was conducted to the method given in Appendix I.

Result details

- 4 PARTICULATE FILTER RESPIRATORS
- 4.2 CLASSIFICATION AND COMPONENTS
- 4.3 PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS
- 4.3.3 Simulated wear treatment

Specimens 23 to 28 were tested.

None of the specimens tested suffered from strap breakage.

4.3.4 Inhalation resistance

Specimen	Pre-conditioning	Inhalation resistance (Pa)	
		at 30 l/min	at 95 l/min
23	4.3.3	11	34
24		12	37
25		11	38
26		9	32
27		11	32
28		10	32
Maximum	permitted for P1	60	210
Maximum	permitted for P2	70	240

4.3.5 Test of filter efficiency

Specimen	Pre-conditioning	Penetration (%)
23		2.44
24	4.3.3	1.62
25		2.32
26		4.04
27		3.64
28		3.69
Maximum	permitted for P1	20.0
Maximum	permitted for P2	6.0

Page 4 of 5

Pass

Pass

Clause	Test	Uncertainty
2.1.1	Assembled respirators	-
2.1.2	Materials	-
2.1.4	Shelf life	-
2.1.8	Avoidance of frictional sparks	-
2.1.9	Protection from flame	See Note 1
2.2.2	Total inward leakage	± 4.8%
3.1.1	General	-
3.2.1	Facial fit	-
3.2.4.2	Leakage	± 3.9 ml/min
3.2.5	Exhalation resistance	± 2.0%
3.2.6	Security of attachments	See Note 1
4.1	Design and construction	-
4.2	Classes	-
4.3.3	Simulated wear	-
4.3.4	Inhalation resistance	± 4.9%
4.3.5	Filtering efficiency	± 4.8%
5.4.4	Inhalation resistance - Gas and vapour filters	± 4.9%
5.4.5	Filter capacity (Type G)	± 5.5%

- Note 1 The acceptance criterion for this test is a straightforward "Pass/Fail", rather than a numerical value. Consequently, as there is no value to be reported, uncertainty has not been reported either.
- Note 2 The uncertainty value is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor k = 2, which provides for a confidence level of approximately 95%. Values expressed as a percentage (%) are relative.
- Note 3 It should be noted that the above values have not been taken into account when making assessment to the pass/fail criteria.

END OF REPORT